ARF Agent Interposer Proxy

Changing reviewers without losing the brief

A reviewer joins late and asks a simple question. Which patch did the first agent test? A long conversation can contain three revisions, a discarded approach and a result that matched only the middle revision. A useful model handoff starts by pinning the candidate.

Give the route a reason

Configure a writing task and a review task with a dependency between them. Give each task the model appropriate to its part. The Interposer owns that routing and collects the results. The release owner stays with the project, whether the review runs locally or at a hosted provider.

A provider change affects the destination of project data. Decide which source and context the review is allowed to receive before configuring the route. The Governance Proxy and tool brokers have their own enforcement points along the way. A route map that names the client, provider and executor makes those points visible.

Build the review packet

The context relay can draw from project instructions, current changes and a local store of facts with sources. Give the second agent the requester's words, the candidate revision, a check tied to that revision, and the decision still open. When the conversation approaches its limit the relay can prepare a continuation prompt with outstanding tasks and the diff.

Ask the reviewer to find the evidence for one line in its report. A source reference lets it open the observation. If the input was cleaned up, a retained file revision or signed checkpoint may be the surviving source. The recipient's own access rules decide whether it can open that content.

Run the same review twice

Keep the candidate and test inputs fixed. Route the review to a different model and compare the findings against the same patch. Record which engine saw which brief and which conclusion the release owner accepted. A disagreement is worth retaining. The next engineer can see the question the reviewers disagreed about and the evidence that settled it.

What the review route must carry

Handoff item	Where it comes from	What the reviewer can check
Candidate revision	Worktree and current diff	Which patch the result belongs to.
Task and dependency	The assignment routed by the Interposer	Whether this worker is drafting or reviewing.
Source for a finding	A scoped fact or linked observation	Which observation supports the finding.

Sources and evidence

A normalized route between client and model provider · Implementation record · A normalized route between client and model provider · Implementation record